UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/619,756	07/15/2003	Hagen Eck	09282.0049-00	7390
	7590 08/17/201 AN, HENDERSON LI	EXAMINER		
901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW			SAADAT, CAMERON	
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3715	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/17/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/619,756	ECK ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	CAMERON SAADAT	3715					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 Ju</u>	dv 2010						
	action is non-final.						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims	,,,						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>38-41,44-51,54-61 and 64-73</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>38-41,44-51,54-61 and 64-73</u> is/are re							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers	·						
··· _	-						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	• ,	, ,					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	*						
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P						
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	αιστι πρριισαιιστ					

Application/Control Number: 10/619,756 Page 2

Art Unit: 3715

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 7/27/2010 has been entered. Claims 38-41, 44-51, 54-61, and 64-70 and newly added claims 71-73 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 38-41, 44-46, 48-51, 54-56, 58-61, 64-66, and 68-73 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Corn et al. (US 6,987,945; hereinafter Corn) in

Application/Control Number: 10/619,756

Art Unit: 3715

view of Lotvin et al. (US 5,907,831; hereinafter Lotvin), further in view of Robinson et al. (US 2002/0177109; hereinafter Robinson).

Page 3

Regarding claims 38, 48, and 58, Corn discloses a computerized method and system for providing access to an electronic course (links to other web pages 8, 10, 12) hosted by a system (initial web page 6), comprising: receiving from a server, metadata for a course catalog from the external system; wherein the server sends the course catalog to the client device; presenting the course catalog to a user of the server, wherein the course catalog includes a course description; receiving a user selection of a course; communicating with the external system to provide the user access to the course (See Col. 7, lines 50-61; Col. 11, lines 4-53); the server transmitting a track command to the external system for tracking the user activity with the course; receiving a response to the track command indicating an amount of time the user spent viewing material in the course. See Col. 11, lines 28-36. Corn additionally discloses the newly claimed feature of receiving at the client device of a course from the course catalog and sending the selection to the server to retrieve the selected course. For example, Corn discloses "a web browser operating on an electronic client device interfaced with a network retrieves the initial web page of an educational provider's website. The initial web page contains references to other web pages containing educational content. A user of the electronic device selects and retrieves one of the other web pages containing educational content the user is interested in reviewing. See Col. 3, lines 10-25.

Corn discloses links to web pages 8, 10, and 12, but does not explicitly disclose that these web pages could be hosted by an external web server. However, Lotvin teaches a computerized

Art Unit: 3715

educational course wherein the educational content is provided by a third party content provider. See Lotvin, Fig. 5A, ref. 500, 510, 511.

Additionally in Col. 13, lines 17-36 Lotvin discloses:

The central system computer sends a message to the user's computer identifying the electronic address (e.g., Internet address, or URL) of the third party provider's presentation. The user's computer connects to the third party provider and participates in the educational presentation by: interacting directly with the third party; downloading software from the third party; or an intermediate situation as discussed above. On completion of the presentation, the number of earned points is transmitted from the user's computer to the central computer, or directly from the third party's computer to the central system computer, or from the third party's computer to the user's computer, which then transmits it to the central system computer.

Thus Lotvin teaches a system to track a user's progress with respect to a third-party content provider. Therefore, in view of Lotvin, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the educational content described in Corn, by providing an external third party content provider, such that various organizations can use the system for particular educational applications.

Lotvin teaches a server that sends a student a link to access the third party provider rather than communicating a command from the server to the external system to provide user access to the course as claimed. However, Robinson teaches the eChalk system and method for presenting third-party educational content wherein eChalk system will request the content from a third-party content provider such that the user does not need to remember multiple passwords to access multiple third-party programs. See Robinson, paragraphs 109-113. Thus, in view of Robinson, it would have been obvious to modify the inventions described in the combination of Corn and Lotvin by permitting the server to request the third party content, such that a user does not need to remember multiple passwords to access multiple third-party programs.

Regarding claims 39, 49, and 59, Corn discloses a register command that registers a user with the external system. See Fig. 5, register link.

Page 5

Regarding claims 40, 50, and 60, Corn discloses an enroll command that enrolls the user in a course. See Col. 7, lines 56-59.

Regarding claims 41, 51, and 61 Corn discloses a step of communicating comprising: transmitting a launch command that launches the course. See Fig. 3, refs. 50, 52.

Regarding claims 44, 54, and 64, Corn discloses content of courses hosted by an external system that are stored in servers maintained by the external system 6. See Col. 11, lines 40-45.

Regarding claims 45, 55, and 65, Corn discloses content of the courses displayed on client device 16 in response to a launch command. See Col. 7, lines 3-21.

Regarding claims 46, 56, and 66, Corn discloses a step of communicating including: transmitting commands from the server to the external, system and receiving replies from the external system to the server. See Col. 11, lines 28-36.

Claims 68-70 are rejected for the reasons set forth above with respect to claims 38-41 and 44-46.

Regarding claims 71-73 Corn does not disclose the claimed feature of receiving at the server from an administrator, a request to obtain activity records of the user and transmitting the track command in response to the received request. However, Lotvin teaches an educational system that provides third-party content, wherein a user's performance record on the third-party system is automatically transmitted to the server. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to retrieve student performance data from a third-party content provider. In addition it would further be obvious to manually request performance data rather that

automatically transmitting the data, in order to allow an administrator to retrieve desired performance data rather than all performance data, thereby reducing storage of irrelevant performance data that the administrator is not concerned with.

Claims 47, 57, and 67 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Corn (US 6,987,945) in view of Lotvin and Robinson, further in view of Linderman (US 2002/0032790)

Corn discloses all of the claimed subject matter with the exception of explicitly disclosing the claimed feature of transmitting commands in accordance with simple object access protocol (SOAP). However, Linderman teaches an object-oriented communications system over the Internet which utilizes SOAP protocol. See Linderman, paragraph 19. Thus, in view of Linderman, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the protocol described in Corn, by providing SOAP protocol in order to allow easier communication behind proxies and firewalls.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 38-41, 44-51, 54-61, and 64-73 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CAMERON SAADAT whose telephone number is (571)272-4443. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00 - 5:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/619,756 Page 7

Art Unit: 3715

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Xuan M. Thai can be reached on (571) 272-7147. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Cameron Saadat/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3715